

# A class of randomly generated semi-infinite programming test problems

A. Ismael F. Vaz and Edite M.G.P. Fernandes

Production and Systems Department - Engineering School

Minho University - Braga - Portugal

{aivaz,emgpf}@dps.uminho.pt

Optimization 2004 - FCUL - Lisbon - Portugal

25-28 July

# Outline

- Semi-Infinite Programming (SIP)
- Motivation
- Terminology/Optimality conditions
- Signomials and extended signomials
- Randomly generated constraints
- Objective function of the randomly generated problem
- The algorithm
- Example (NSIPS output) and conclusions

# Semi-Infinite Programming (SIP)

$$\min_{x \in R^n} f(x)$$

$$s.t. \quad g_i(x, t) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, o$$

$$h_i(x) = 0, \quad i = o + 1, \dots, q$$

$$\forall t \in T \subset R^p$$

$f(x)$  is the objective function,  $h_i(x)$  are the finite constraint functions,  $g_i(x, t)$  are the infinite constraint functions and  $T$  is, usually, a cartesian product of intervals ( $[\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times [\alpha_2, \beta_2] \times \dots \times [\alpha_p, \beta_p]$ )

## Motivation

For most SIP problems, the exact solutions are not known a priori.

This makes the selection of the best algorithm for SIP a difficult task (NSIPS solver).

The existence of randomly generated SIP test problems (with known solutions) provides a way to evaluate accuracy, efficiency and reliability of known SIP algorithms.

# Terminology

For the remaining of the talk, we denote

$$\min_{x \in R^n} f(x)$$

$$s.t. \quad x \in X,$$

with

$$X = \{x \in R^n \mid g_u(x, t) \leq 0, \quad u = 1, \dots, m, \forall t \in T,$$

$$h_v(x) = 0, \quad v = 1, \dots, o,$$

$$h_v(x) \leq 0, \quad v = o + 1, \dots, q\}$$

as the **upper level problem**.

## Terminology - cont.

and

$$\max_{t \in T} g_u(x, t), \quad u = 1, \dots, m,$$

as the **lower level subproblems**.

Let  $\varsigma_u$  be the number of global maxima of the lower level subproblem  $u$ , which make the infinite constraint  $g_u(x, t) \leq 0$  active.

${}^u_\kappa t^*$ , for  $u = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u$  are the solutions to lower level subproblems.

## Optimality conditions – lower level problem

$$\forall t \in T \equiv [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times \cdots \times [\alpha_p, \beta_p] \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_j - t_j \leq 0 & j = 1, \dots, p \\ t_j - \beta_j \leq 0 & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases} \\ (t = (t_1, \dots, t_p))$$

## Optimality conditions – lower level problem

$$\forall t \in T \equiv [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times \cdots \times [\alpha_p, \beta_p] \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_j - t_j \leq 0 & j = 1, \dots, p \\ t_j - \beta_j \leq 0 & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases} \\ (t = (t_1, \dots, t_p))$$

Given  $\bar{x}$  (approximation to the upper level problem solution).

The Lagrangian of the lower level subproblem  $u$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_u(t, {}^u\gamma^{lb}, {}^u\gamma^{ub}) = g_u(\bar{x}, t) + \sum_{j=1}^p {}^u\gamma_j^{lb}(\alpha_j - t_j) + \sum_{j=1}^p {}^u\gamma_j^{ub}(t_j - \beta_j),$$

${}^u\gamma^{lb}, {}^u\gamma^{ub} \in R^p$  are the Lagrange multipliers vectors.

## Lower level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local maximum:

$$\nabla_t \mathcal{L}_u({}^u t^*, {}^u \gamma^{lb*}, {}^u \gamma^{ub*}) = 0$$

## Lower level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local maximum:

$$\nabla_t \mathcal{L}_u({}^u t^*, {}^u \gamma^{lb*}, {}^u \gamma^{ub*}) = 0$$

feasibility

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j - {}^u t_j^* \leq 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \\ {}^u t_j^* - \beta_j \leq 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases}$$

## Lower level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local maximum:

$$\nabla_t \mathcal{L}_u({}^u t^*, {}^u \gamma^{lb*}, {}^u \gamma^{ub*}) = 0$$

feasibility

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j - {}^u t_j^* \leq 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \\ {}^u t_j^* - \beta_j \leq 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases}$$

complementarity

$$\begin{cases} {}^u \gamma_j^{lb*} (\alpha_j - {}^u t_j^*) = 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \\ {}^u \gamma_j^{ub*} ({}^u t_j^* - \beta_j) = 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases}$$

## Lower level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local maximum:

$$\nabla_t \mathcal{L}_u({}^u t^*, {}^u \gamma^{lb*}, {}^u \gamma^{ub*}) = 0$$

feasibility

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j - {}^u t_j^* \leq 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \\ {}^u t_j^* - \beta_j \leq 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases}$$

complementarity

$$\begin{cases} {}^u \gamma_j^{lb*} (\alpha_j - {}^u t_j^*) = 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \\ {}^u \gamma_j^{ub*} ({}^u t_j^* - \beta_j) = 0, & j = 1, \dots, p \end{cases}$$

Lagrange multipliers positivity

$${}^u \gamma_j^{lb*}, {}^u \gamma_j^{ub*} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

## Lower level second order KKT conditions

The second order sufficient condition:

$$Z^T \nabla_{tt}^2 \mathcal{L}_u({}^u t^*, {}^u \gamma^{lb*}, {}^u \gamma^{ub*}) Z \prec 0,$$

$Z$  is a basis for the null space of the active constraints Jacobian at  ${}^u t^*$ .

## Upper level Lagrangian

The upper level Lagrangian function is

$$L(x, \lambda, \delta) = f(x) + \sum_{v=1}^q \lambda_v h_v(x) + \sum_{u=1}^m \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\varsigma_u} {}_u^{\kappa} \delta g_u(x, {}_u^{\kappa} t^*),$$

$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q)^T$  is the Lagrange multipliers vector (finite constraints).

${}^u \delta = ({}_1^u \delta, \dots, {}_{\varsigma_u}^u \delta)^T$  is the multipliers vector (infinite constraint  $g_u(x, t) \leq 0$  ( $u = 1, \dots, m$ )).

## Upper level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local SIP minimum:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \delta^*) = 0$$

## Upper level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local SIP minimum:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \delta^*) = 0$$

complementarity 
$$\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* h_v(x^*) = 0, & v = 1, \dots, q \\ {}^u \kappa \delta^* g_u(x^*, {}^u \kappa t^*) = 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$$

## Upper level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local SIP minimum:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \delta^*) = 0$$

complementarity  $\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* h_v(x^*) = 0, & v = 1, \dots, q \\ {}_u \delta^* g_u(x^*, {}_u t^*) = 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$

Lagrange multipliers positivity  $\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* \geq 0, & v = o + 1, \dots, q \\ {}_u \delta^* \geq 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$

## Upper level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local SIP minimum:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \delta^*) = 0$$

complementarity

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* h_v(x^*) = 0, & v = 1, \dots, q \\ {}_u \delta^* g_u(x^*, {}_u t^*) = 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$$

Lagrange multipliers positiveness

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* \geq 0, & v = o + 1, \dots, q \\ {}_u \delta^* \geq 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$$

feasibility

$$\begin{cases} h_v(x^*) = 0, & v = 1, \dots, o \\ h_v(x^*) \leq 0, & v = o + 1, \dots, q \end{cases}$$

## Upper level first order KKT conditions

The first order KKT conditions for a local SIP minimum:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \delta^*) = 0$$

complementarity  $\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* h_v(x^*) = 0, & v = 1, \dots, q \\ {}_u \delta^* g_u(x^*, {}_u t^*) = 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$

Lagrange multipliers positiveness  $\begin{cases} \lambda_v^* \geq 0, & v = o + 1, \dots, q \\ {}_u \delta^* \geq 0, & u = 1, \dots, m, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \end{cases}$

feasibility  $\begin{cases} h_v(x^*) = 0, & v = 1, \dots, o \\ h_v(x^*) \leq 0, & v = o + 1, \dots, q \end{cases}$

Each  ${}_u t^*$  satisfies the KKT conditions of the lower level subproblem  $u$ .

## Upper level second order sufficient condition

The second order sufficient condition for a minimum:

$$\nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \lambda^*, \delta^*) \succ 0.$$

## Signomial and extended signomials

Signomials are generalized polynomials of the form

$$s(x) = \sum_{\eta=1}^k c_\eta \prod_{\zeta=1}^n x_\zeta^{a_{\zeta\eta}}, \quad x > 0,$$

## Signomial and extended signomials

Signomials are generalized polynomials of the form

$$s(x) = \sum_{\eta=1}^k c_\eta \prod_{\zeta=1}^n x_\zeta^{a_{\zeta\eta}}, \quad x > 0,$$

and the extended signomials

$$s^e(x, t) = \left( \sum_{\eta=1}^k c_\eta^e \prod_{\zeta=1}^n x_\zeta^{a_{\zeta\eta}^e} \right) \prod_{l=1}^p \sin^2(t_l b_l \pi), \quad x, c_\eta^e, b_l > 0,$$

where  $c_\eta$ ,  $a_{\zeta\eta}$ ,  $c_\eta^e$ ,  $a_{\zeta\eta}^e$  and  $b_l$  are real numbers.

## Randomly generated constraints

$m$  extended signomials  $s_1^e, \dots, s_m^e$  and  $q + 1$  signomials  $s_0, \dots, s_q$  are randomly generated.

## Randomly generated constraints

$m$  extended signomials  $s_1^e, \dots, s_m^e$  and  $q + 1$  signomials  $s_0, \dots, s_q$  are randomly generated.

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*), \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a$$

## Randomly generated constraints

$m$  extended signomials  $s_1^e, \dots, s_m^e$  and  $q + 1$  signomials  $s_0, \dots, s_q$  are randomly generated.

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*), \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a$$

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*) - \mu_u^e, \quad u = m_a + 1, \dots, m$$

## Randomly generated constraints

$m$  extended signomials  $s_1^e, \dots, s_m^e$  and  $q + 1$  signomials  $s_0, \dots, s_q$  are randomly generated.

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*), \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a$$

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*) - \mu_u^e, \quad u = m_a + 1, \dots, m$$

$$h_v(x) = s_v(x) - s_v(x^*), \quad v = 1, \dots, o + q_a$$

## Randomly generated constraints

$m$  extended signomials  $s_1^e, \dots, s_m^e$  and  $q + 1$  signomials  $s_0, \dots, s_q$  are randomly generated.

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*), \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a$$

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*) - \mu_u^e, \quad u = m_a + 1, \dots, m$$

$$h_v(x) = s_v(x) - s_v(x^*), \quad v = 1, \dots, o + q_a$$

$$h_v(x) = s_v(x) - s_v(x^*) - \mu_v, \quad v = o + q_a + 1, \dots, q$$

## Randomly generated constraints

$m$  extended signomials  $s_1^e, \dots, s_m^e$  and  $q + 1$  signomials  $s_0, \dots, s_q$  are randomly generated.

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*), \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a$$

$$g_u(x, t) = s_u^e(x, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*) - \mu_u^e, \quad u = m_a + 1, \dots, m$$

$$h_v(x) = s_v(x) - s_v(x^*), \quad v = 1, \dots, o + q_a$$

$$h_v(x) = s_v(x) - s_v(x^*) - \mu_v, \quad v = o + q_a + 1, \dots, q$$

$m_a$  ( $\leq m$ ) is the number of infinite active constraints,  $q_a$  ( $\leq q - o$ ) is the number of finite inequality active constraints,  ${}^u t^*$  is a global maximum of the extended signomial  $u$  and  $\mu_u^e$  and  $\mu_v$  are positive randomly generated real numbers.

## Objective function

The objective function is defined as

$$f(x) = s_0(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^T \mathbf{H}x + \mathbf{b}^T x + \mathbf{a}$$

where  $\mathbf{H} \in R^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in R^n$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in R$  are given by

$$\mathbf{H} = -\nabla^2 s_0(x^*) - \sum_{v=1}^{o+q_a} \lambda_v^* \nabla^2 h_v(x^*) - \sum_{u=1}^{m_a} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\varsigma_u} {}^u\kappa \delta^* \nabla_{xx}^2 g_u(x^*, {}^u\kappa t^*) + \mathbf{P}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = -\nabla s_0(x^*) - \mathbf{H}x^* - \sum_{v=1}^{o+q_a} \lambda_v^* \nabla h_v(x^*) - \sum_{u=1}^{m_a} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\varsigma_u} {}^u\kappa \delta^* \nabla_x g_u(x^*, {}^u\kappa t^*)$$

$$\mathbf{a} = -s_0(x^*) - \frac{1}{2}(x^*)^T \mathbf{H}x^* - \mathbf{b}^T x^*$$

$\mathbf{P} \in R^{n \times n}$  is a positive definite matrix.

## Lagrange multipliers

The elements of the multiplier vectors are randomly generated real numbers, satisfying

$$\lambda_v^* > 0, \quad v = o + 1, \dots, o + q_a,$$

$$\lambda_v^* = 0, \quad v = o + q_a + 1, \dots, q,$$

$$\zeta_u^* \delta^* > 0, \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u$$

Note that  $\zeta_u = 0$  for  $u = m_a + 1, \dots, m$ .

For practical purposes, we consider  $T = [0, 1] \times \cdots \times [0, 1]$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  a diagonal matrix of the form  $\mathbf{P} = \text{diag}(\rho_i)$ ,  $\rho_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

## The randomly generated lower level subproblems

Given  $x^*$ , the lower level subproblem for each  $u = 1, \dots, m_a$  is given by

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]^p} g_u(x^*, t) \equiv s_u^e(x^*, t) - s_u^e(x^*, {}^u t^*).$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  ${}^u t^*$  be defined by

$$\begin{cases} {}_1^u t_l^* = 1 & \text{if } {}^u b_l \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ {}_\kappa^u t_l^* = \frac{1}{2} {}^u b_l + \frac{(\kappa-1)}{{}^u b_l}, & \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u \quad \text{if } {}^u b_l > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

with  $l = 1, \dots, p$ .

Then these points satisfy the first and second order KKT conditions for the lower level subproblem  $u$  at  $x^*$ .

## Optimality conditions for the SIP problem

**Proposition 2.** *Let the SIP problem be randomly generated as previously described. The first and second order KKT conditions of the upper level problem are satisfied for a given  $x^*$  and Lagrange multipliers.*

## The algorithm

1. Input parameters:  $n, p, m, m_a, o, q_a, q, k, L, Lb$  and  $La$ .
2. Randomly generate the data for the signomials  ${}^v c_\eta \in [-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}], v = 0, \dots, q$ ,  ${}^u c_\eta^e \in ]0, \frac{L}{2}]$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, m$ ,  ${}^v a_{\zeta\eta} \in [-\frac{La}{2}, \frac{La}{2}], v = 0, \dots, q$ ,  $\zeta = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\eta = 1, \dots, k$ ,  ${}^u a_{\zeta\eta}^e \in [-\frac{La}{2}, \frac{La}{2}], u = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\zeta = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\eta = 1, \dots, k$  and  ${}^u b_l \in ]0, Lb]$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, p$ .
3. Randomly generate the slacks for the inactive infinite constraints ( $\mu_u^e \in ]0, L]$ ,  $u = m_a + 1, \dots, m$ ) and the slacks for the inactive finite constraints ( $\mu_v \in ]0, L]$ ,  $v = o + q_a + 1, \dots, q$ ).
4. Randomly generate the upper level problem solution  $x_l^*, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

## The algorithm

5. Compute the first (closest to the left bound of  $T$ ) lower level subproblems global solution,  ${}^u_1t_l^*$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{cases} {}^u_1t_l^* = 1 & \text{if } {}^u b_l \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ {}^u_1t_l^* = \frac{1}{2^u b_l} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6. Compute the number of global maxima of each lower level subproblem,

$$\varsigma_u = \prod_{l=1}^p [{}^u b_l + 0.5]_-, \quad u = 1, \dots, m_a,$$

where  $[y]_-$  is the maximum integer lower or equal to  $y$ .

## The algorithm

7. Randomly generate the Lagrange multipliers for the upper level problem,  
 $\lambda_i^*, i = 1, \dots, o + q_a$  ( $\lambda_i^* \in [-\frac{La}{2}, \frac{La}{2}], i = 1, \dots, o, \lambda_i^* \in ]0, La]$ ,  
 $i = o + 1, \dots, o + q_a)$ ,  $\frac{u}{\kappa} \delta^* \in ]0, La]$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, m_a, \kappa = 1, \dots, \varsigma_u$ .
8. Define the signomials and extended signomials.
9. Compute the signomials and extended signomials at  $x^*$  and  $\frac{u}{1} t^*$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, m$ . Compute their derivatives, w.r.t.  $x$ , at  $x^*$  and  $\frac{u}{1} t^*$ . (Not shown).
10. Randomly generate the diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  with positive  $(]0, L])$  elements.

## The algorithm

11. Compute the objective constants  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{a}$ .
12. Define the objective function and constraints.

## NSIPS discretization method output

```
[aivaz@linux nsips]$ export nsips_options='method=disc_hett'  
[aivaz@linux nsips]$ ../../ampl ../../sipmod/random.mod  
Generating problem...option randseed 1070240335;  
Done.
```

NSIPS: Discretization method selected Hettich version

Nx=4        Nt=2

h=(0.010000,0.010000)

Refinements=3

## NSIPS discretization method output

Iter	Grid	Nnlsp	Nacvi	FullGrid	
	Nonlinear	used	3	iterations	Obj=-0.006813 Inform=6
0	10201	40808	2	--	
	Nonlinear	used	1	iterations	Obj=-0.006812 Inform=0
1	40401	12	2	Yes	
	Nonlinear	used	3	iterations	Obj=-0.002451 Inform=0
1	40401	24	3	No	
	Nonlinear	used	0	iterations	Obj=-0.002451 Inform=1
2	361201	16	15	Yes	
	...				

## NSIPS discretization method output

Solution found

Objective= -0.002451

$x = (3.646384, 1.885763, 3.294467, 2.650242)$

Exact solution

Objective= 0.000000

$\hat{x}^* = (3.646452, 1.885912, 3.294240, 2.650256)$

## Conclusions

- A class of randomly generated SIP problems, with known solutions, is proposed;

## Conclusions

- A class of randomly generated SIP problems, with known solutions, is proposed;
- The `random.mod` file is publicly available with the SIPAMPL database;

<http://www.norg.uminho.pt/aivaz/>

## Conclusions

- A class of randomly generated SIP problems, with known solutions, is proposed;

- The `random.mod` file is publicly available with the SIPAMPL database;

`http://www.norg.uminho.pt/aivaz/`

- The file `random.mod` can be changed to tune the randomly generated problem;

## Conclusions

- A class of randomly generated SIP problems, with known solutions, is proposed;
- The `random.mod` file is publicly available with the SIPAMPL database;  
`http://www.norg.uminho.pt/aivaz/`
- The file `random.mod` can be changed to tune the randomly generated problem;
- This approach can also be used for multi-local optimization;

# The End

email: aivaz@dps.uminho.pt

emgpf@dps.uminho.pt

Web <http://www.norg.uminho.pt/aivaz/>

<http://www.norg.uminho.pt/emgpf/>

First Page